

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.usptn.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/240,695	02/02/1999	HISANORI NAKAJIMA	Q53164	7689
7:	590 03/26/2003			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200373213		NGUYEN, M	NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/240,695	NAKAJIMA ET AL.			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	ition in		
, PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate of the final originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancell.  NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer					
10. Other:	J.	Lather HERNDON	MER		
	SUPER\ TECH	NSORY PATENT EXAMIN NOLOGY CENTER 210	0		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/240,695

Art Unit: 2176

Applicant reiterates the same arguments as those in the previous correspondence filed on 06/03/2002 which was not persuasive. Examiner contends that the cited references meet the claim limitations as stated in the final rejection.

Applicant argues that no suggestion exists that spool print data is converted into the files and function keys. (Remarks, page 2, lines 19-20)

In response, Applicant is arguing the disclosure of the invention, not the claim limitation "spool print data is converted into the files and function keys." is not claim in the invention.

Applicant aruges that Takeda does not teach inversely converting the edited display data into a structure of spool print data, but contends that page 1, lines 14-19 of the APA does.

However, Applicant submits that the APA does not suggest such a feature. (Remarks, page 3, lines 5-8)

In reponse, Examiner contends that Takeda and APA <u>both</u> show inversely converting the edited display data into a structure of spool print data. Takedat teaches the edited display data (editing and previewing are displayed on the display unit; col.9, lines 9-17) and APA teaches converting the display data into a structure of spool print data (converts a data based on a print request ... into a print control of a structure which can be read by the printing device; Background of the Invention; page 1, lines 14-19).